

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No.: 2:21-cr-00230-APG-VCF

Plaintiff

V.

MARCUS MATTINGLY,

Defendant

Order Accepting Report and Recommendation and Denying Motions to Suppress

[ECF Nos. 30, 34, 52]

On February 18, 2022, Magistrate Judge Ferenbach recommended that I deny defendant Mattingly’s two motions to suppress. ECF No. 52. Mattingly did not object. Thus, I am obliged to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the or specified proposed findings to which objection is made”); *United States v. Reyna*- 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the district judge must review the rate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not wise” (emphasis in original)).

I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Ferenbach's report and recommendation (**ECF No. 52**) is accepted and defendant Marcus Mattingly's motions to suppress (**ECF Nos. 30, 34**) are DENIED.

DATED this 21st day of April, 2022.



ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE